## Message

From: Dourson, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BB29BF491D9A4C3AB569022BCD205A0A-DOURSON, MI]

**Sent**: 10/19/2017 11:10:53 PM

To: Beck, Nancy [beck.nancy@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Peer Review

Another nice note...

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 8:08 AM

To: Barone, Stan <Barone.Stan@epa.gov>; Zarba, Christopher <Zarba.Christopher@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Dourson, Michael

<dourson.michael@epa.gov>

Subject: Peer Review

## Stan and Chris,

I've mentioned to you both the idea of putting together a team of your staff leads to explore what it would look like and what it would take, if our SAP and SACC were moved to a peer review office within the AO. If your staff could explore this (FTE, resources, workload, pro's/cons etc) and get back to us by Mid November that would be great. One key thing to remember is that we would like our panels to function as they do now, and not become a part of the SAB.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Nancy

\*

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT Deputy Assistant Administrator Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

P: 202-564-1273

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

peckmancywepa.gov <sup>i</sup>